

OFFICIAL***CARDINAL LAW GROUP***

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111
Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date: FEBRUARY 16, 2005

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERTo: EXAMINER AHN, SAM K.
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(703) 872-9315

FEB 16 2005

Fax #:

From: FRANK C. NICHOLAS
Phone #: (847) 905-7111

Client/Matter No.: PHD 99,088 (7790/352)

of Pages: 27
(including cover sheet)IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL 847/905-7111, Ext. 112 AND ASK FOR
JENNIFER CRUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Attorney Docket No.	PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
		Application Number	09/763,845
		Filing Date	FEBRUARY 27, 2001
		First Named Inventor	CHRISTOPH HERMANN
		Group Art Unit	2637
		Examiner	AHN, SAM K

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**


FEB 1 6 2005

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application)	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawings, Replacement Sheets 1/4, 3/4 and 4/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Post Card Receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> One-Month Petition for Extension of Time Request (duplic)	<input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below)
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, art	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No 50-1713. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No 50-1713. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed	

CALCULATION OF FEE

	Claims After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity		Large Entity	
	Rate	Add'l Fee			Rate	Add'l Fee		
Total	Minus		0		x \$25=	0	x \$50=	
Indep	Minus		0		x \$100	0	x \$200	
First Presentation or Multiple Dep. Claim					+ \$180	—	+ \$360—	
					total add'l fee	\$ 0	total add'l fee	\$


SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	FRANK C. NICHOLAS Registration No 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201		
Signature			Date <u>February 16, 2005</u>

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile (703) 872-9315
To the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date.

February 16, 2005

Signature		Date <u>February 16, 2005</u>
FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)		

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(DO NOT USE FOR CORRESPONDENCE AFTER INVESTIGATION)

Attorney Docket No	PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Application Number	09/763,845
Filing Date	FEBRUARY 27, 2001
First Named Inventor	CHRISTOPH HERMANN
Group Art Unit	2637
Examiner	AHN, SAM K

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)


<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application)	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawings: Replacement Sheets 1/4, 3/4 and 4/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Post Card Receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> One-Month Petition for Extension of Time Request (duplic)	<input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below)
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, ari	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1713. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 50-1713. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.	

CALCULATION OF FEE

					Small Entity		Large Entity	
	Claims After Amendment		Highest No Previously Paid For	Paid Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus		0	+\$25=	0	+\$50=	
Indep		Minus		0	+\$100	0	+\$200	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+\$180	---	+\$360=	
					total add'l fee	\$ 0	total add'l fee	\$


SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	FRANK C. NICHOLAS Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201
-------------------------	---

Signature		Date	February 16, 2005
-----------	---	------	-------------------

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile (703) 872-9315 To the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date.	February 16, 2005
---	-------------------

Signature		Date	February 16, 2005
-----------	---	------	-------------------

Certificate of Facsimile
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
transmitted by facsimile to (703) 872-9315 to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office February 16, 2005
(Date of Transmission)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33-983)
Name of Appellant, witness or registered representative
Frank Nicholas
Signature
February 16, 2005
Date of Signature

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 16 2005

PATENT
Case No. PHD 99,088
(7790/352)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re patent application of:)
CHRISTOPH HERMANN)
Serial No.: 09/763,845)
Filed: FEBRUARY 27, 2001)
For: WIRELESS NETWORK FOR)
REQUESTING A CONTENTION)
CHANNEL)
Examiner: AHN, SAM K.
Group Art Unit: 2634

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellant herewith respectfully presents a Brief on Appeal as follows:

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 2 of 24

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

	<u>Page</u>
1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST.....	3
2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES.....	4
3. STATUS OF CLAIMS.....	5
4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.....	6
5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED INVENTION.....	7
6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.....	9
7. ARGUMENT.....	10
8. CLAIMS APPENDIX.....	15
9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX.....	None
10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX.....	None

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 3 of 24

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the assignee of record U.S. Philips Corporation, a Delaware corporation having an office and a place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1104

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 4 of 24

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorney are not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 5 of 24

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 14-33 are currently pending in the present application, and are the claims on appeal. See, Claims Appendix.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 6 of 24

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Appellant filed an after final request for reconsideration of pending claims 1-10 in response to a Final Office Action dated August 3, 2004. The request for reconsideration contained an amendment to independent claim 14 for purposes of clarifying limitations related to the terminal, an amendment to dependent claims 21 and 22 for correcting a dependency of these claims from cancelled claim 13 to independent claim 14, and an amendment to claim 25 for purposes of correcting a typo of "receive" to "receiver". These amendments were not entered into the record by Examiner Ahn

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 7 of 24

5. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION

As illustrated in FIGS. 1 and 2, a wireless network employs a base station 1 and a terminal 4 assigned to base station 1 for exchanging user data and control data. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 3, lines 24-33.

Generally, terminal 4 transmits a first signaling sequence S as an indication of a wish by terminal 4 to use one of a plurality of contention channels. In response to receiving the first signaling sequence S, base station 1 generates and detects a pulse representative of a correlation of the first signaling sequence S, and transmits a provision message over one or more contention channels to terminal 4 in response to generating and detecting the pulse. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 5, line 22 to page 6, line 25.

In various embodiments, terminal 4 transmits a signaling sequence S as one of a Gold sequence, a Kasami sequence or a Golay sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame where either:

(1) after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention channel from base station 1, terminal 4 transmits a terminal identification and a data packet over a contention channel to base station 1,

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 8 of 24

(2) after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention channel from base station 1, terminal 4 transmits a terminal identification and a data packet over a first contention channel to base station 1 in response to the provision message indicating the specific time slot of the transmitting-end reference frame,

(3) transmits a second signaling sequence S to base station 1 in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence S by base station 1 within a predefined period of time after transmission of the first signal sequence to base station 1;

(4) terminal 4 transmits a second signaling sequence S to base station 1 in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of a reception of data by base station 1 over an assigned contention channel; and

(5) terminal 4 re-transmits the first signaling sequence S to base station 1 with an increase energy in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence S by base station 1 within a predefined period of time after the first transmission of the first signal sequence S to base station 1.

See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 6, line 26 to page 8, line 19.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 9 of 24

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 21-23 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite. Claims 14-17, 21-28, 32 and 33 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 B1 to *Kanterakis* et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,400,752 B1 to *Suzuki* et al. Claims 18-20 and 29-31 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,389,056 B1 to *Kanterakis* et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,400,752 B1 to *Suzuki* et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,621,897 B1 to *Jung* et al.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 10 of 24

7. ARGUMENT

Indefiniteness Rejection. Currently, dependent claims 21 and 22 incorrectly depend from cancelled claim 13. The Appellant attempted to amend dependent claims 21 and 22 to correctly depend from independent claim 14, but Examiner Ahn did not enter the amendment into the record. Thus, the Appellant respectfully requests an entering of the amendment to dependent claims 21 and 22 to correctly depend from independent claim 14 and a withdrawal of the rejection of claims 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 as being indefinite.

Kanterakis in view of Suzuki obviousness rejection. The Appellant respectfully traverses the obviousness rejection of claims 14-17, 21-28, 32 and 33, because the combination of *Kanterakis* and *Suzuki* fails to teach or suggest a "signaling sequence" as recited in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33.

Specifically, during examination, Examiner Ahn must interpret claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33 as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. This means that the words of claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33 must be given their plain meaning unless the Appellant has provided a clear definition in the specification. See, MPEP §2111.01.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 11 of 24

The Appellant respectfully asserts that the Appellant has provided a clear definition of the term "signaling sequence" as recited in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33. Specifically, the Appellant has clearly defined the term "signaling sequence" as a pseudo-random square-wave signal. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 5, lines 27-29. The Appellant further distinguished the term "signaling sequence" from a random-access burst signal including a preamble. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 1, lines 10-18.

By comparison, *Kanterakis* teaches an access-burst signal that includes a preamble. See, the abstract of Kanterakis. As such, the access-burst signal of *Kanterakis* does not qualify as a signaling sequence as defined by the Appellant and recited in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33. Also, a review of *Suzuki* reveals that none of the signals of *Suzuki* qualifies as a signaling sequence defined by the Appellant and recited in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33.

Additionally, the assertion herein by the Appellant of the term "signaling sequence" is not an attempt by the Appellant to read limitations from the specification into claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33, because the recitation of the term "signaling sequence" in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33 requires the term "signaling sequence" to be defined, and such a definition was provided in the specification by the Appellant.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 12 of 24

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 14-17, 21-28, 32 and 33 under §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kanterakis* in view of *Suzuki* is therefore respectfully requested.

Kanterakis in view of *Suzuki* and *Jung* obviousness rejection. The Appellant respectfully traverses the obviousness rejection of claims 18-20 and 29-31, because the combination of *Kanterakis* and *Suzuki* fails to teach or suggest a "signaling sequence" as recited in claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31.

Again, during examination, Examiner Ahn must interpret claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31 as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. This means that the words of claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31 must be given their plain meaning unless the Appellant has provided a clear definition in the specification. See, MPEP §2111.01.

The Appellant respectfully asserts that the Appellant has provided a clear definition of the term "signaling sequence" as recited in claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31. Specifically, the Appellant has clearly defined the term "signaling sequence" as a pseudo-random square-wave signal. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 5, lines 27-29. The Appellant further distinguished the term "signaling sequence" from a random-access burst signal including a preamble. See, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/763,845 at page 1, lines 10-18.

February 16, 2005
Case No. P11D 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 13 of 24

By comparison, *Kanterakis* teaches an access-burst signal that includes a preamble. See, the abstract of *Kanterakis*. As such, the access-burst signal of *Kanterakis* does not qualify as a signaling sequence as defined by the Appellant and recited in claims 14, 15, 17, 21-24, 26-38 and 32-33. Also, a review of *Suzuki* and *Jung* reveals that none of the signals of *Suzuki* or *Jung* qualifies as a signaling sequence defined by the Appellant and recited in claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31.

Additionally, the assertion herein by the Appellant of the term "signaling sequence" is not an attempt by the Appellant to read limitations from the specification into claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31, because the recitation of the term "signaling sequence" in claims 14, 18-20, 26 and 29-31 requires the term "signaling sequence" to be defined, and such a definition was provided in the specification by the Appellant.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 18-20 and 29-31 under §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kanterakis* in view of *Suzuki* and *Jung* is therefore respectfully requested.


February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 14 of 24

Dated: February 16, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
& STANDARDS
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff, New York 10510

Jack D. Slobod
Registration No. 26,236
Attorney for Appellant



Frank C. Nicholas
Registration No. 33,983
Attorney for Appellant

CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Phone: (847) 905-7111
Fax: (847) 905-7113

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 15 of 24

CLAIMS APPENDIX

14. A wireless network, comprising:
 - a base station;
 - the terminal assigned to the base station for exchanging user data and control data, the terminal operable to transmit a first signaling sequence as an indication of a wish by the terminal to use one of a plurality of contention channels;
 - wherein the base station is operable to generate and detect a pulse representative of a correlation of the first signaling sequence in response to receiving the first signaling sequence; and
 - wherein the base station is further operable to transmit a provision message over at least one contention channel to the terminal in response to generating and detecting the pulse.
15. The wireless network of claim 14,
 - wherein the terminal is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame; and
 - wherein, after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention channel from the base station, the terminal is further operable to transmit at least one of a terminal identification and a data packet over a first contention channel to the base station.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 16 of 24

16. The wireless network of claim 14, wherein the base station includes:
 - a matched filter operable to generate the pulse; and
 - a peak detector operable to detect a peak of the pulse during a specific time slot of a receiving-end reference frame.
17. The wireless network of claim 14,
wherein the terminal is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence as one of a Gold sequence, a Kasami sequence or a Golay sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame.
18. The wireless network of claim 14,
wherein the terminal is further operable to transmit a second signaling sequence to the base station in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence by the base station within a predefined period of time after transmission of the first signal sequence to the base station.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 17 of 24

19. The wireless network of claim 14,

wherein, subsequent to receiving the provision message, the terminal is further operable to transmit a second signaling sequence to the base station in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of a reception of data by the base station over an assigned contention channel.

20. The wireless network of claim 14,

wherein the terminal is further operable to re-transmit the first signaling sequence to the base station with an increase energy in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence by the base station within a predefined period of time after the first transmission of the first signal sequence to the base station.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 18 of 24

21. The wireless network of claim 13,
wherein the terminal is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence
during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame; and
wherein, after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention
channel from the base station, the terminal is further operable to transmit at least one of a
terminal identification and a data packet over a first contention channel to the base station
in response to the provision message indicating the specific time slot of the transmitting-
end reference frame.
22. The wireless network of claim 13,
where the first signaling sequence is one of a plurality of signaling sequences
associated with the wireless network.
23. The wireless network of claim 22,
wherein each signaling sequence is further associated with a different data rate.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 19 of 24

24. A base station in a wireless network for exchanging user data and control data with a terminal, the base station comprising:

a receiver operable to generate and detect a pulse representative of a correlation of a signaling sequence in response to receiving the signaling sequence from the terminal; and

a transmitter operable to transmit a provision message over at least one contention channel to the terminal in response to a generation and a detection of the pulse by the receiver.

25. The base station of claim 24, wherein the receive includes:

a matched filter operable to generate the pulse; and

a peak detector operable to detect a peak of the pulse during a specific time slot of a receiving-end reference frame.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 20 of 24

26. A terminal in a wireless network for exchanging user data and control data a base station, the terminal comprising:

a transmitter operable to transmit a first signaling sequence to the base station, the signaling sequence being indicative of a wish to use one of a plurality of contention channels; and

a receiver operable to receive a provision message from the base station over at least one contention channel subsequent to the transmission of the first signaling sequence by the transmitter.

27. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein the transmitter is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame; and

wherein, after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention channel from the base station, the transmitter is further operable to transmit at least one of a terminal identification and a data packet over a first contention channel to the base station.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 21 of 24

28. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein the transmitter is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence as one of a Gold sequence, a Kasami sequence or a Golay sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame.

29. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein the transmitter is further operable to transmit a second signaling sequence to the base station in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence by the base station within a predefined period of time after transmission of the first signal sequence to the base station.

30. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein, subsequent to receiving the provision message, the transmitter is further operable to transmit a second signaling sequence to the base station in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of a reception of data by the base station over an assigned contention channel.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 22 of 24

31. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein the transmitter is further operable to re-transmit the first signaling sequence to the base station with an increase energy in response to a failure to receive an acknowledgement of the reception of the first signaling sequence by the base station within a predefined period of time after the first transmission of the first signal sequence to the base station.

32. The terminal of claim 26,

wherein the transmitter is further operable to transmit the first signaling sequence during a specific time slot of a transmitting-end reference frame; and

wherein, after receiving the provision message over the at least one contention channel from the base station, the transmitter is further operable to transmit at least one of a terminal identification and a data packet over a first contention channel to the base station in response to the provision message indicating the specific time slot of the transmitting-end reference frame.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 23 of 24

33. A method of exchanging user data and control data in a wireless network between a base station and a terminal, the method comprising:

 a transmission of a signaling sequence from the terminal to the base station, the signaling sequence being indicative of a request by the terminal to use of one of a plurality of contention channels;

 a generation and a detection of a pulse being representative of a correlation of the signaling sequence by the base station in response to the base station receiving the signaling sequence from the terminal; and

 a transmission of a provision message by the base station over at least one contention channel to the terminal in response to a generation and a detection of the pulse by the base station.

February 16, 2005
Case No. PHD 99,088 (7790/352)
Serial No.: 09/763,845
Filed: February 27, 2001
Page 24 of 24

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.